

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Patent and Trademark Office $763\,$

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	shington, D.C. 20	PATENTS AND TRADEMARK 231
FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	VU, H	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	3202	EXAMINER

MC GLEW AND THTTLE SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827

SERIAL NUMBER

05/01/91

FILING DATE

05/04/92 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

GERKE

		ADVISORY ACTION		
T E	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:			
] is e	xtended to run from the date of the Final Rejection		
] con	tinues to run from the date of the Final Rejection		
Ū		pires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no ent however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
	fee pur	y extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the poses of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 7 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.		
	ppella	nt's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
	ipplica	int's response to the final rejection, filed 414 or has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to be application in condition for allowance:		
1. 😉	The	proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		
	a. [There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.		
	ь. 🖸	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		
	с. [They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
	d. [They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	пот	For example; claims, lines 15-18, a howing.		
2. 🗆		y proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the allowable claims.		
3. 🗹		n the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this ication would be as follows:		
	Clair	wed claims:		
	ь. 🗆	The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.		
ı. 🗆	The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.		
5. 🗆		affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier ented.		
□ τ	he pro	posed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.		
□ 0	ther	La Dehwa D		

Larry I. Schwartz SPE Art Unit 322